UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,104	08/17/2006	Barry Reginald Hobson	71386-0013	1067
20915 MCGARRY BA	7590 12/16/200 <b>AIR PC</b>	EXAMINER		
32 Market Ave.	SW	LAM, THANH		
SUITE 500 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/598,104	HOBSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	THANH LAM	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oct     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5 and 7-10 is/are w  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 6 and 12-21 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/17/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/598,104 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species M, figures 18-20, claims 1,6,11-21 in the reply filed on 10/10/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that product and process claims. This is not found persuasive because this is only a Species Restriction Requirement. There was not product and process Restriction Requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobs (US 5,126,648).

Regarding claim 1, Jacobs discloses a non-rotary, machine comprising a support having a load carrying surface (22, fig. 2a) and an opposite surface; an electric motor having an airgap through which lines of magnetic flux extend, and an armature coupled to said support, said armature provided with at least two electrically conductive paths (11,11', fig. 2b, col. 5, lns.24-30 and col. 6, lns. 17-21) each having at least one current carrying segment disposed in said airgap and substantially perpendicularly intersected by said lines of magnetic flux to produce thrust forces which act to move said armature

Application/Control Number: 10/598,104 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

and thus said support in two dimensions in a plane; and, a bearing support system suspending said armature in said airgap, said bearing support system (col. 37, lns. 37-45) disposed between said support and said armature.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs in view of Korenaga et al. 6,037,680.

Jacobs discloses the oscillatory device but does not disclose having the device return to a known position when the power is turned off.

Korenaga et al. teaches a control system where the device returns to a initial state in column 11 lines 16-17.

Since Korenaga et al. and Jacobs are from the same field of endeavor.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized an initial position for the device in order to simplify the control system. With an initialing control system, there is no need for the device to determine the location of the device each time the power is turned on.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6,12-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THANH LAM whose telephone number is (571)272-2026. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Fr, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Quyen P. Leung can be reached on (571) 272-8188. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,104 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thanh Lam/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

\*\*\*